arXiv:1607.01493v1 [math.AG] 6 Jul 2016

ON TORSION IN THE 0-CYCLE GROUP WITH MODULUS

AMALENDU KRISHNA

Abstract. We show for a smooth projective variety X over an algebraically closed field k with an effective Cartier divisor D that the torsion subgroup $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)\{l\}$ can be described in terms of a relative étale cohomology for any prime $l \neq p = \mathrm{char}(k)$. This extends a classical result of Bloch on the torsion in the ordinary Chow group to cycles with modulus. We also prove a Roitman torsion theorem (including p-torsion) for $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ when D is reduced.

1. Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in the study of the theory of motives, as envisioned by Grothendieck, is to construct a universal cohomology theory of varieties and describe it in terms of algebraic cycles. When X is a smooth quasi-projective scheme over a base field k, such a motivic cohomology theory of X is known to exist (see, for example, [48], [32]). Moreover, Bloch [10] showed that this cohomology theory has an explicit description in terms of groups of algebraic cycles, called the higher Chow groups. These groups have all the properties that one expects, including Chern classes and a Chern character isomorphism from the higher K-groups of Quillen.

However, the situation becomes much complicated when we go beyond the world of smooth varieties. There is no theory of motivic cohomology of singular schemes known till date which could be a universal cohomology theory, which could be described in terms of algebraic cycles and which could be rationally isomorphic to the algebraic K-theory.

With the aim of understanding the algebraic K-theory of the ring $k[t]/(t^n)$ in terms of algebraic cycles, Bloch and Esnault first conceived the idea of algebraic cycles with modulus, called additive Chow groups. This idea was substantially expanded in the further works of Park [42], Rülling [45] and Krishna-Levine [25].

In recent works of Binda-Saito [5] and Kerz-Saito [27], the construction of the additive higher Chow groups was generalized to develop a theory of higher Chow groups with modulus. These groups, denoted $\mathrm{CH}^*(X|D, *)$, are designed to study the arithmetic and geometric properties of a smooth variety X with fixed conditions along an effective (possibly non-reduced) Cartier divisor D on it, and are supposed to give a cycle-theoretic description of the relative K-groups $K_*(X, D)$, defined as the homotopy groups of the homotopy fiber of the restriction map $K(X) \to K(D)$.

In order to provide evidence that the Chow groups with modulus are the right motivic cohomology groups to compute the relative K-theory of a smooth scheme with respect to an effective divisor, one would like to know if these groups share enough of the known structural properties of the Chow groups without modulus, and to relate them to some cohomological invariants of a pair (X, D). In particular, one would like to know if the torsion part of the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus could be described in terms of a relative étale cohomology or in terms of an Albanese variety with modulus.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25; Secondary 13F35, 14F30, 19E15.

Key words and phrases. cycles with modulus, cycles on singular schemes, algebraic K-theory, étale cohomology.

1.1. **The main results.** For the Chow group of 0-cycles without modulus on a smooth projective scheme, Bloch [8] showed that its prime-to-p torsion is completely described in terms of an étale cohomology. Roitman [44] and Milne [40] showed that the torsion in the Chow group is completely described in terms of the Albanese of the underlying scheme. When D is an effective Cartier divisor on a smooth projective scheme X, the Albanese variety with modulus $A^d(X|D)$ with appropriate universal property and the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0} \to A^d(X|D)$ were constructed in [6].

The goal of this work is to generalize the above two results to 0-cycles with modulus. Our main results thus broadly look as follows. Their precise statements and underlying hypotheses and notations will be explained at appropriate places in the text.

Theorem 1.1. (*Bloch's torsion theorem*) *Let k be an algebraically closed field of exponential characteristic p. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Then, for any prime $l \neq p$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\lambda_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(d)).$$

Theorem 1.2. (*Roitman's torsion theorem*) *Let k be an algebraically closed field of exponential characteristic p. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Assume that D is reduced. Then, the Albanese variety with modulus $A^d(X|D)$ is a semi-abelian variety and the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0} \to A^d(X|D)$ is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups (including p-torsion).*

Note that the isomorphism of Theorem 1.1 is very subtle because one does not have any obvious map in either direction. In the case of Chow group without modulus, the construction of λ_X by Bloch makes essential use of the Bloch-Ogus theory and, more importantly, it uses the Weil-conjecture. The prime-to-p part of Theorem 1.2 was earlier proven in [6]. The new contribution here is the extension to the more challenging p-part.

1.2. **Applications.** As an application of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result about the prime-to-p torsion in the Chow group with modulus.

Corollary 1.3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of exponential characteristic p. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Then, the restriction map ${}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \to {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D_{\mathrm{red}})$ is an isomorphism for every integer n prime to p.*

Another application of Theorem 1.1 is the following extension of the results of Bloch [9, Chap. 5] to the relative K-theory.

Corollary 1.4. *Let X be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic p and let $l \neq p$ be a prime. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Then, the following hold.*

1. $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l = 0.$
2. $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)})\{l\} \simeq H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)).$

1.3. **Outline of proofs.** Our proofs of the above results are based on the decomposition theorem of [6] which relates the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus on a smooth variety to the Levine-Weibel Chow group of 0-cycles on a singular variety. We are thus led to prove analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for singular varieties. We prove these results for the Chow group of 0-cycles on singular surfaces in the first part (§ 3 and § 4) of this text. These results are of independent interest in the study of 0-cycles on singular varieties.

In § 5 and § 6, we prove our results about 0-cycles with modulus by using the analogous results for singular surfaces and an induction on dimension. This induction is based on variants of Lefschetz hyperplane section and weak Lefschetz theorems for Albanese and étale cohomology with modulus. Some applications are deduced in § 5.

1.4. **Notations.** Throughout this text, k will denote an algebraically closed field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. We shall denote the category of separated schemes of finite type over k by $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. The subcategory of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ consisting of smooth schemes over k will be denoted by $\mathbf{Sm}_k$. An undecorated product $X \times Y$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ will mean that it is taken over the base scheme Spec (k). An undecorated cohomology group will be assumed to be with respect to the Zariski site. We shall let $w : \mathbf{Sch}_k/\acute{e}t \to \mathbf{Sch}_k/\mathrm{zar}$ denote the canonical morphism between the étale and the Zariski sites of $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. For $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, the notation X^N will usually mean the normalization of X_{red} unless we use a different one in a specific context. For any abelian group A and an integer $n \geq 1$, the subgroup of n-torsion elements in A will be denoted by ${}_nA$ and, for any prime l, the l-primary torsion subgroup will be denoted by $A\{l\}$.

2. Review of 0-cycle groups and Albanese varieties

In order to prove our main results of § 1, our strategy is to use the decomposition theorem for 0-cycles from [6]. This theorem asserts that the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus on a smooth scheme is a direct summand of the Chow group of 0-cycles (in the sense of [34]) on a singular scheme. We are thus led to explore analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for singular schemes. In the first few sections of this paper, our goal is to prove such results for arbitrary singular surfaces. These results are of independent interest and answer some questions in the study of 0-cycles on singular schemes. The higher dimensional cases of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be deduced from the case of surfaces.

In this section, we review the definitions and some basic facts about the Chow group of 0-cycles on singular schemes, the Chow group with modulus and their universal quotients, called the Albanese varieties.

2.1. **0-cycles on singular schemes.** We recall the definition of the cohomological Chow group of 0-cycles for singular schemes from [6] and [34]. Let X be a reduced quasi-projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k. Let X_{sing} and X_{reg} denote the loci of the singular and the regular points of X. Given a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ such that $X_{\mathrm{sing}} \subseteq Y$ and no component of X is contained in Y, we let $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)$ denote the free abelian group on the closed points of $X \setminus Y$. We write $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, X_{\mathrm{sing}})$ in short as $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$.

Definition 2.1. Let C be a pure dimension one reduced scheme in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. We shall say that a pair (C, Z) is *a good curve relative to* X if there exists a finite morphism $\nu \colon C \to X$ and a closed proper subscheme $Z \subsetneq C$ such that the following hold.

(1) No component of C is contained in Z.
(2) $\nu^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}}) \cup C_{\mathrm{sing}} \subseteq Z$.
(3) ν is local complete intersection at every point $x \in C$ such that $\nu(x) \in X_{\mathrm{sing}}$.

Let (C, Z) be a good curve relative to X and let $\{\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r\}$ be the set of generic points of C. Let $\mathcal{O}_{C,Z}$ denote the semilocal ring of C at $S = Z \cup \{\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r\}$. Let $k(C)$ denote the ring of total quotients of C and write $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}$ for the group of units in $\mathcal{O}_{C,Z}$. Notice that $\mathcal{O}_{C,Z}$ coincides with $k(C)$ if $|Z| = \emptyset$. As C is Cohen-Macaulay, $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}$ is the subgroup of $k(C)^{\times}$ consisting of those f which are regular and invertible in the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$ for every $x \in Z$.

Given any $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z} \hookrightarrow k(C)^{\times}$, we denote by $\mathrm{div}_C(f)$ (or $\mathrm{div}(f)$ in short) the divisor of zeros and poles of f on C, that is defined as follows. If $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ are the irreducible

components of C, and f_i is the factor of f in $k(C_i)$, we set $\mathrm{div}(f)$ to be the 0-cycle $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\mathrm{div}(f_i)$, where $\mathrm{div}(f_i)$ is the usual divisor of a rational function on an integral curve in the sense of [16]. As f is an invertible regular function on C along Z, $\mathrm{div}(f)\in \mathcal{Z}_0(C,Z)$.

By definition, given any good curve (C,Z) relative to X, we have a pushforward map $\mathcal{Z}_0(C,Z)\xrightarrow{\nu_*}\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$. We shall write $\mathcal{R}_0(C,Z,X)$ for the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the set $\{\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f))|f\in \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{C,Z}\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_0(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the image of the map $\mathcal{Z}_0(C,Z,X)\to\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$, where $\mathcal{Z}_0(C,Z,X)$ runs through all good curves. We let $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)=\frac{\mathcal{Z}_0(X)}{\mathcal{R}_0(X)}$.

If we let $\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the divisors of rational functions on good curves as above, where we further assume that the map $\nu: C\to X$ is a closed immersion, then the resulting quotient group $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)/\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$. Such curves on X are called the *Cartier curves*. There is a canonical surjection $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)\twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. The Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ was discovered by Levine and Weibel [34] in an attempt to describe the Grothendieck group of a singular scheme in terms of algebraic cycles. The modified version $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ was introduced in [6].

2.1.1. *The Chow group of the double.* Let X be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension d over k and let $D\subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Recall from [6, § 2.1] that the double of X along D is a quasi-projective scheme $S(X,D)=X\amalg_D X$ so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\iota_+} \\ X & \xrightarrow[\iota_-]{} & S(X,D) \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

is a co-Cartesian square in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. In particular, the identity map of X induces a finite map $\Delta: S_X\to X$ such that $\Delta\circ\iota_{\pm}=\mathrm{Id}_X$ and $\pi=\iota_+\amalg\iota_-: X\amalg X\to S(X,D)$ is the normalization map. We let $X_{\pm}=\iota_{\pm}(X)\subset S(X,D)$ denote the two irreducible components of $S(X,D)$. We shall often write $S(X,D)$ as S_X when the divisor D is understood. S_X is a reduced quasi-projective scheme whose singular locus is $D\subset S_X$. It is projective whenever X is so. We shall use the following comparison result for the two Chow groups of the double.

Theorem 2.2. ([6, Theorem 6.3]) *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension $d\geq 1$ over k with an effective Cartier divisor D. Then, the canonical map $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)\to\mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)$ is an isomorphism.*

We shall later consider a more general situation, where we allow the two components of the double to be distinct. This general case will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2.2. **The Albanese varieties for singular schemes.** Let X be a reduced projective scheme over k of dimension d. Let $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$ denote the kernel of the degree map $\deg:\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)\twoheadrightarrow H^0(X,\mathbb{Z})$. An Albanese variety $A^d(X)$ of X was constructed in [14]. This variety is a connected commutative algebraic group over k with an Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_X:\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}\twoheadrightarrow A^d(X)$. Moroever, $A^d(X)$ is the universal object in the category of commutative algebraic groups G over k with a rational map $f: X\dashrightarrow G$ which induces a group homomorphism $f_*:\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}\to G$. Such a group homomorphism is called a regular homomorphism. For this reason, $A^d(X)$ is also called the *universal regular quotient* of $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$. When X is smooth, $A^d(X)$ coincides with the classical Albanese variety $\mathrm{Alb}(X)$. One says that $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$ is *finite-dimensional*, if ρ_X is an isomorphism.

2.2.1. *The universal semi-abelian quotient of* $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$. In positive characteristic, apart from the theorem about its existence, not much is known about $A^d(X)$ and almost everything that one would like to know about it is an open question. However, the universal semi-abelian quotient of the algebraic group $A^d(X)$ can be described more explicitly and this is all one needs to know in order to understand the prime-to-p torsion in $A^d(X)$. The following description of this quotient is recalled from [35, § 2].

Let $\pi : X^N \to X$ denote the normalization map. Let $\mathrm{Cl}(X^N)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}_W(X^N)$ denote the class group and the Weil Picard group of X^N. Recall from [49] that $\mathrm{Pic}_W(X^N)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}(X^N)$ consisting of Weil divisors which are algebraically equivalent to zero in the sense of [16, Chap. 19]. Let $\mathrm{Div}(X)$ denote the free abelian group of Weil divisors on X. Let $\Lambda_1(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Div}(X^N)$ generated by the Weil divisors which are supported on $\pi^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}})$. This gives us a map $\iota_X : \Lambda_1(X) \to \frac{\mathrm{Cl}(X^N)}{\mathrm{Pic}_W(X^N)}$.

Let $\Lambda(X)$ denote the kernel of the canonical map

$$\Lambda_1(X) \xrightarrow{(\iota_X, \pi_*)} \frac{\mathrm{Cl}(X^N)}{\mathrm{Pic}_W(X^N)} \oplus \mathrm{Div}(X). \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from [35, § 4] that the universal semi-abelian quotient of $A^d(X)$ is the Cartier dual of the 1-motive $[\Lambda(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}_W(X^N)]$ and is denoted by $J^d(X)$. The composite homomorphism $\rho_X^{\mathrm{semi}} : \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0} \twoheadrightarrow A^d(X) \twoheadrightarrow J^d(X)$ is universal among regular homomorphisms from $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$ to semi-abelian varieties. $J^d(X)$ is called the *semi-abelian Albanese variety* of X. There is a short exact sequence of commutative algebraic groups

$$0 \to A^d_{\mathrm{unip}}(X) \to A^d(X) \to J^d(X) \to 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $A^d_{\mathrm{unip}}(X)$ is the unipotent radical of $A^d(X)$. Since k is algebraically closed, $A^2_{\mathrm{unip}}(X)$ is uniquely n-divisible for any integer $n \in k^\times$. In particular,

$${}_nA^d(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}_nJ^d(X). \tag{2.4}$$

2.3. **A Lefschetz hyperplane theorem.** Recall that for a smooth projective scheme $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$ of dimension $d \geq 3$, a general hypersurface section $Y \subset X$ has the property that the canonical map $\mathrm{Alb}(Y) \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is an isomorphism. We now wish to prove a similar result for the semi-abelian Albanese variety $J^d(S_X)$ of the double. In this paper, we shall identify the two Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)$ for a double using Theorem 2.2.

Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 3$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. We can apply [1, Theorem 7] to find a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$ such that for a general set of distinct hypersurfaces $H_1, \cdots, H_{d-2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$, the successive intersection $X \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq d-2)$ is integral and smooth over k and no component of $X \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-2}$ is contained in D. Setting $X_i = X \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_i$ and $D_i = X_i \cap D$, our choice of the hypersurfaces implies that $D_i \subset X_i$ is an effective Cartier divisor. We let $S_i = X_i \amalg_{D_i} X_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-2$. It follows from [6, Proposition 2.4] that each inclusion $\tau_i : S_i \hookrightarrow S_{i-1}$ is a local complete intersection (l.c.i.) and $S_i = S_{i-1} \times_{X_{i-1}} X_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-2$, where we let $S_0 = S_X$. Since $S_i \hookrightarrow S_{i-1}$ is an l.c.i. inclusion with $(S_i)_{\mathrm{sing}} = (D_i)_{\mathrm{red}} = (S_i \cap D)_{\mathrm{red}} = S_i \cap (S_{i-1})_{\mathrm{sing}}$, it follows that there is a push-forward map $\tau_{i,*} : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_i) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(S_{i-1})$.

Proposition 2.3. *For $1 \leq i \leq d-2$, there is an isomorphism of algebraic groups $\phi_i : J^{d-i}(S_i) \to J^{d-i+1}(S_{i-1})$ and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(S_i)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{i,*}} & \mathrm{CH}_0(S_{i-1})_{\deg 0} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_i^{\mathrm{semi}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{i-1}^{\mathrm{semi}}} \\ J^{d-i}(S_i) & \xrightarrow[\phi_i]{} & J^{d-i+1}(S_{i-1}), \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

whose restriction to the l-primary torsion subgroups induces isomorphism of all arrows, for every prime $l \neq p$.

Proof. Before we begin the proof, we note that when $S_X \cap H \hookrightarrow S_X$ is a general hypersurface section in a projective embedding of S_X, then an analogue of the proposition was proven by Mallick in [35, § 5]. But we can not apply his result directly because $S_Y \hookrightarrow S_X$ is not a hypersurface section. Nevertheless, we shall closely follow Mallick's construction in the proof of the proposition. Also, we shall prove a stronger assertion than in [35, Theorem 14] because of the special nature of the double.

We have seen above that the inclusion $S_i \hookrightarrow S_{i-1}$ is l.c.i. which preserves the singular loci, and hence there is a push-forward $\tau_{i,*} : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_i) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(S_{i-1})$. We now construct the map ϕ_i. We construct this map for $i = 1$ as the method in the general case is completely identical. With this reduction in mind, we shall let $Y = X_1 = X \cap H_1,\ F = D_1 = D \cap H_1$ so that $S_1 = S_Y = S(Y, F)$.

Since $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a general hypersurface section with $S_Y^N = Y \amalg Y$ and $S_X^N = X \amalg X$, one knows that the map $\mathrm{Pic}_W(S_Y^N)^\vee = \mathrm{Alb}(Y) \times \mathrm{Alb}(Y) \to \mathrm{Alb}(X) \times \mathrm{Alb}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}_W(S_X^N)^\vee$ is an isomorphism. We thus have to construct a pull-back map $\Lambda(S_X) \to \Lambda(S_Y)$ which is an isomorphism.

We consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \amalg Y & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \amalg X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ S_Y & \xrightarrow[\tau_1]{} & S_X, \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

where the vertical arrows are the normalization maps and ψ is the disjoint sum of the inclusion of the hypersurface section $Y = X \cap H_1$. We claim that this square is Cartesian. To see this, note that the vertical arrows are disjoint sums of two maps. Hence, it is enough to show that (2.6) is Cartesian when we replace $X \amalg X$ by X_+ and $Y \amalg Y$ by Y_+. In this case, the vertical arrows are closed immersions and we know directly from the construction that $S_Y \times_{S_X} X_+ \simeq (Y \times_X S_X) \times_{S_X} X_+ \simeq Y_+$.

Let $E_1, \cdots, E_r$ be the set of irreducible components of D. We can choose hypersurface $H_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$ such that each $F_i := E_i \cap H_1$ is integral and $F_i \neq F_j$ if $E_i \neq E_j$ (see [1, Theorem 7] and [31, Lemma 1.3]). Now, the refined Gysin homomorphism $\psi^! : \Lambda_1(S_X) = \mathrm{CH}_{d-1}(D \amalg D) \to \mathrm{CH}_{d-2}(F \amalg F) = \Lambda_1(S_Y)$ takes E_i to F_i for $i = 1, \cdots, r$ in each copy of D. This map is bijective by our choice of the hypersurface sections Y and F_i's.

Now, for any $j = 1, \cdots, r$, we have $\psi^!([E_j]) = \alpha_j [F_j]$ in $\Lambda_1(S_Y) = \mathrm{CH}_{d-2}(F \amalg F)$, where α_j is the intersection multiplicity of $E_j \times_{\mathbb{P}_k^N} H_1$ in $D \cdot H_1$. Since the composite morphism $E_j \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$ is a closed immersion (and hence separable), this intersection multiplicity must be one so that we have $\alpha_j = 1$ for each j. It follows that $\psi^! : \Lambda_1(S_X) \to \Lambda_1(S_Y)$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

We next show that $\psi^!$ takes $\Lambda(S_X)$ onto $\Lambda(S_Y)$. This follows because for any $\Delta \in \Lambda(S_X)$, we have $\pi_{1,*} \circ \psi^!(\Delta) = \tau_1^! \circ \pi_*(\Delta)$ by [16, Theorem 6.2] and $\pi_*(\Delta) = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{d-1}((S_X)_{\mathrm{sing}}) = Z_{d-1}((S_X)_{\mathrm{sing}})$. This means $\psi^!(\Delta) \in \Lambda(S_Y)$. If we let $\Delta = \sum_l \alpha_l [F_l] \in$

$\Lambda(S_Y)$, then letting $\Delta' = \sum_l \alpha_l[E_l] \in \Lambda_1(S_X)$, we get $\psi^!(\Delta') = \Delta$. We also have $\tau_1^! \circ \pi_*(\Delta') = \pi_{1,*} \circ \psi^!(\Delta') = \pi_{1,*}(\Delta) = 0$. Since $\tau_1^! : \mathrm{CH}_{d-1}((S_X)_{\mathrm{sing}}) = \mathrm{CH}_{d-1}(D) \to \mathrm{CH}_{d-2}(F) = \mathrm{CH}_{d-2}((S_Y)_{\mathrm{sing}})$ is bijective by our choice of H_1, it follows that $\pi_*(\Delta') = 0$. It follows that $\psi^! : \Lambda(S_X) \to \Lambda(S_Y)$ is surjective and hence an isomorphism. We have thus constructed an isomorphism $\phi_1 : J^{d-1}(S_Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} J^d(S_X)$.

To show the commutative diagram (2.5), we can again assume $i = 1$. We can also replace $\mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_0(S_Y)$ by $\mathcal{Z}_0(S_X)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0(S_Y)$, respectively.

We now need to observe that $J^d(S_X)$ is a quotient of the Cartier dual $J^d_{\mathrm{Serre}}(S_X)$ of the 1-motive $[\Lambda_1(S_X) \to \mathrm{Pic}_W(X \amalg X)]$ and this dual semi-abelian variety is the universal object in the category of morphisms from $(S_X)_{\mathrm{reg}} = (X \setminus D) \amalg (X \setminus D)$ to semi-abelian varieties (see [46]). Since $(S_Y)_{\mathrm{reg}} = (Y \setminus D) \amalg (Y \setminus D) = \tau_1^{-1}((S_X)_{\mathrm{reg}})$, it follows from this universality of $J^d_{\mathrm{Serre}}(S_X)$ that there is a commutative diagram as in (2.5) if we replace $J^d(S_X)$ and $J^{d-1}(S_Y)$ by $J^d_{\mathrm{Serre}}(S_X)$ and $J^d_{\mathrm{Serre}}(S_Y)$, respectively. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J^d_{\mathrm{Serre}}(S_Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,*}} & J^d_{\mathrm{Serre}}(S_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J^d(S_Y) & \xrightarrow[\phi_{1,*}]{} & J^d(S_X) \end{array}$$

now finishes the proof of the commutativity of (2.5). The final assertion about the isomorphism between the l-primary torsion subgroups follows from [35, Theorem 16]. □

2.4. **0-cycles and Albanese variety with modulus.** Given an integral normal curve C over k and an effective divisor $E \subset C$, we say that a rational function f on C has modulus E if $f \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}^\times_{C,E} \to \mathcal{O}^\times_E)$. Here, $\mathcal{O}_{C,E}$ is the semilocal ring of C at the union of E and the generic point of C. In particular, $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}^\times_{C,E} \to \mathcal{O}^\times_E)$ is just $k(C)^\times$ if $|E| = \emptyset$. Let $G(C, E)$ denote the group of such rational functions.

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ be the free abelian group on the set of closed points of $X \setminus D$. Let C be an integral normal curve over k and let $\varphi_C \colon C \to X$ be a finite morphism such that $\varphi_C(C) \not\subset D$. The push forward of cycles along φ_C gives a well defined group homomorphism $\tau_C \colon G(C, \varphi_C^*(D)) \to Z_0(X|D)$.

Definition 2.4. We define the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ of 0-cycles of X with modulus D to be the cokernel of the homomorphism $\mathrm{div} \colon \bigoplus_{\varphi_C \colon C \to X} G(C, \varphi_C^*(D)) \to Z_0(X|D)$, where the sum is taken over the set of finite morphisms $\varphi_C \colon C \to X$ from an integral normal curve such that $\varphi_C(C) \not\subset D$.

It is clear from the moving lemma of Bloch that there is a canonical surjection $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. If X is projective, we let $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg\, 0}$ denote the kernel of the composite map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\deg} H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

By [6, Theorem 10.3], there exists an Albanese variety with modulus $A^d(X|D)$ together with a surjective Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg\, 0} \to A^d(X|D)$ which is a universal regular homomorphism in a suitable sense. One says that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0}$ is *finite-dimensional*, if $\rho_{X|D}$ is an isomorphism.

3. Torsion in the Chow group of a singular surface

Let X be a reduced projective surface over k. When X smooth, Suslin [47, p. 19] showed that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(2)) \to {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for any integer $n \in k^\times$. When X has only isolated singularities, this exact sequence was conjectured by Pedrini and Weibel [43, (0.4)] and proven by Barbieri-Viale, Pedrini and Weibel in [2, Theorem 7.7]. Expanding their ideas, our goal in this section is to prove such an exact sequence for surfaces with arbitrary singularities. This exact sequence will be used to prove an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for singular surfaces.

3.1. **Gillet's Chern classes.** Let $\mathcal{K} = \Omega BQP$ denote the simplicial presheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\mathcal{K}(X) = \Omega BQP(X)$, where $BQP(X)$ is the Quillen's construction of the simplicial set associated to the exact category $P(X)$ of locally free sheaves on X. For any $i \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{K}_i$ denote the Zariski sheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ associated to the presheaf $X \mapsto K_i(X) = \pi_i(\mathcal{K}(X))$.

We fix integers $d, i \geq 0$ and an integer $n \geq 1$ which is prime to the exponential characteristic p of the base field k. Let $\mu_n(d)$ denote the sheaf $\mu_n^{\otimes d}$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k/\acute{e}t$ such that $\mu_n(X) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}^\times(X) \xrightarrow{n} \mathcal{O}^\times(X))$. Let $\mathcal{E}_i$ denote the simplicial sheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k/\mathrm{zar}$ associated by the Dold-Kan correspondence to the good truncation $\tau^{\leq 0}\mathbf{R}w_*\mu_n(i)[2i]$ of the chain complex of Zariski sheaves $\mathbf{R}w_*\mu_n(i)[2i]$. In particular, we have $\pi_q\mathcal{E}_i(X) = H^{2i-q}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(i))$ for $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and $0 \leq q \leq 2i$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the homotopy fiber of the map of simplicial presheaves $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{n} \mathcal{K}$ so that $\pi_q\mathcal{L}(X) = K_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ for $q \geq -1$. Let $\mathcal{H}^i(\mu_n(d))$ denote the Zariski sheaf $\mathbf{R}^i w_*\mu_n(d)$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k/\mathrm{zar}$. It follows from Gillet's construction of the Chern classes (see [18, § 5]) that there is a morphism of the simplicial presheaves on $\mathbf{Sch}_k/\mathrm{zar}$:

$$c_i : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{E}_i \tag{3.2}$$

in the homotopy category of simplicial presheaves. This map is compatible with the local to global spectral sequences for the associated Zariski sheaves so that we get a map of spectral sequences which at the E_2 level is $H^p(X, \mathcal{K}_q) \to H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^{2i-q}(\mu_n(i)))$ and converges to the global Chern class on $\mathcal{E}_i$ given by $c_{i,X} : K_{q-p}(X) \to H^{2i-q+p}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(i))$. These Chern classes in fact factor through the maps $\mathcal{K} \to \Sigma\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E}_i$ (see [43, § 2]) so that there are Chern class maps $c_i : \mathcal{L} \to \Omega\mathcal{E}_i$ in the homotopy category of simplicial presheaves [11].

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ denote the simplicial presheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is the universal covering space of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the homotopy fiber of the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{n} \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$. This yields $\pi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)) = K_q(X)$ for $q \geq 2$ and $\pi_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)) = 0$. Furthermore, we have

$$\pi_q(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(X)) = \begin{cases} K_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \text{if } q \geq 2 \\ K_2(X)/n & \text{if } q = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}$ denote the second layer of the Postnikov tower $(\cdots \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(n)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(n-1)} \to \cdots \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(0)} = \star)$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$. There are compatible family of maps $f_n : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(n)}$ inducing $\pi_q\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_q\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(n)}$ for $q \leq n$ and $\pi_q\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(n)} = 0$ for $q > n$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}$ denote the homotopy fiber of the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{n} \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}$ so that there is a commutative diagram of simplicial presheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} & \leftarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} & \to & \mathcal{L} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} & \leftarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{K}} & \to & \mathcal{K} \\ {\scriptstyle n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle n} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} & \leftarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{K}} & \to & \mathcal{K}. \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{K}_2^\bullet$ denote the complex of presheaves $(\mathcal{K}_2 \xrightarrow{n} \mathcal{K}_2)$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k/\mathrm{zar}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}$ is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial presheaf obtained by applying the Dold-Kan correspondence to the chain complex $\mathcal{K}_2^\bullet[2]$.*

Proof. Using (3.4), it suffices to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex of the type $(\mathcal{K}_2, 2)$. Let F^2 denote the homotopy fiber of the Kan fibration $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)}$ in the Postnikov tower. We have $\pi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\pi_1\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} = \pi_1\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ by [37, Theorem 8.4]. The long exact homotopy sequence now implies that $\pi_i F^2 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}$ for all i and hence $F^2 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}$ is a weak equivalence by the Whitehead theorem. Since F^2 is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex of type $(\mathcal{K}_2, 2)$ by [37, Corollary 8.7], we conclude. □

3.2. **Cohomology of $\mathcal{K}_2$ on a surface.** Let us now assume that X is a reduced quasi-projective surface over k. Let $\mathcal{K}_i(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ denote the Zariski sheaf on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ associated to the presheaf $X \mapsto K_i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$. Applying the Brown-Gersten spectral sequence (see [11, Theorem 3])

$$H^p(X, \pi_{-q}\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{F}),$$

(3.2) and (3.3), we obtain a commutative diagram of exact sequences

(3.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle c_2}\wr & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_2}\wr & & \\
H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n(2))) & \xrightarrow[d]{} & H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n(2))) & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are induced by the Chern class map $c_{2,X}$. The bottom row is exact because $\mathcal{H}^3(\mu_n(2)) = 0$ by [39, Theorem VI.7.2]. The map $H^0(X, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \xrightarrow{c_2} H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(2))$ is the one induced by the maps $H^0(X, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \xrightarrow{c_2} H^0(X, \Omega\mathcal{E}_2) \simeq H^{-1}(X, \mathcal{E}_2) = \pi_1\mathcal{E}_2(X) = H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(2))$. The leftmost and the rightmost vertical arrows are isomorphisms by Hoobler's theorem [21, Theorem 3].

Lemma 3.2. *There is a functorial map $H^0(X, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}) \to \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet)$ and a commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H^0(X, \pi_1\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(X, \pi_2\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \pi_1\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) & \xrightarrow[d]{} & H^2(X, {}_n\mathcal{K}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

such that all vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

Proof. The bottom exact sequence follows from the exact triangle

$$ {}_n\mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet \to \mathcal{K}_2/n[-1] \to {}_n\mathcal{K}_2[1] \tag{3.7}$$

in the derived category of Zariski sheaves of abelian groups on $\mathbf{Sch}_k/\mathrm{zar}$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 says that $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex of the type $(\mathcal{K}_2, 2)$ and there is a homotopy equivalence $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} \to \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet[2]$. This in particular implies that $\pi_1\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} \simeq \mathcal{K}_2/n$, $\pi_2\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} \simeq {}_n\mathcal{K}_2$ and $\pi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 2$. Applying the Brown-Gersten spectral sequence to $H^0(X, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)})$ and using Lemma 3.1, we conclude the proof. The commutativity follows because both rows are obtained by applying the hypercohomology spectral sequence to the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)} \to \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet[2]$. □

The key step in extending (3.1) to arbitrary surfaces is the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *The map $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n)) \to H^2(X, {}_n\mathcal{K}_2)$, induced by the universal coefficient theorem, has a natural factorization*

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n)) \xrightarrow{c_2} H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))) \xrightarrow{\nu} H^2(X, {}_n\mathcal{K}_2)$$

such that the map ν is an isomorphism.

Proof. By [2, Lemma 6.2, Variant 6.3], there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2)) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n) & \xrightarrow{c_2} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2)) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & \nearrow & \\ & & {}_n\mathcal{K}_2 & & \end{array} \tag{3.8}$$

such that $c_2 \circ \phi = -1$ and the composite $\psi \circ \phi$ is given by $a \mapsto \{a, \zeta\} \in {}_n\mathcal{K}_2$ (where $\zeta \in k^\times$ is a primitive n^{th} root of unity). This composite map is surjective and an isomorphism on the regular locus of X by [2, Lemma 6.2]. It follows that the induced map $\psi \circ \phi : H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))) \to H^2(X, {}_n\mathcal{K}_2)$ is an isomorphism (see [43, Lemma 1.3]). We thus have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n)) & \xrightarrow{c_2} & H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))) \\ & \searrow^{\simeq} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & \nearrow_{\nu} & \\ & & H^2(X, {}_n\mathcal{K}_2) & & \end{array} \tag{3.9}$$

in which the triangle on the left is commutative. To prove the lemma, it is therefore sufficient to show that $\mathrm{Ker}(c_2) = \mathrm{Ker}(\psi)$. Equivalently, $\mathrm{Ker}(c_2) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\psi)$.

We set $\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Ker}(c_2)$ so that we have a split exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{c_2} \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2)) \to 0. \tag{3.10}$$

By [43, Lemma 1.3], it suffices to show that the composite map $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{\psi} {}_n\mathcal{K}_2$ of Zariski sheaves is zero on the smooth locus of X. Since this is a local question, it suffices to show that for a regular local ring A which is essentially of finite type over k, the map $\mathrm{Ker}(c_2) \to {}_nK_2(A)$ is zero. By the Gersten resolution of $K_2(A)$, Bloch-Ogus resolution for $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mu_n(2))$ and Gillet's resolution for $K_3(A, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ (see [19]), we can replace A by its fraction field F.

We now have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_3^M(F) & \longrightarrow & K_3(F, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \xrightarrow{c_2} & H^1_{\acute{e}t}(F, \mu_n(2)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \| & & \vdots & & \\ K_3(F) & \longrightarrow & K_3(F, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \xrightarrow[\psi]{} & {}_nK_2(F) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

One of the main results of [33] (and also [38]) shows that the top row in (3.11) is exact. Since the bottom row is clearly exact, we get the desired conclusion. □

Our first main result of this section is the following extension of (3.1) to surfaces with arbitrary singularities.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a reduced quasi-projective surface over k and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer prime to p. Then, there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(2)) \to {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to 0.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and the map between the Brown-Gersten spectral sequences associated to the morphism of simplicial presheaves $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}$ that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(X,\mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \simeq\downarrow & & \downarrow\psi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\simeq \\ H^0(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) & \xrightarrow[d]{} & H^2(X,{}_n\mathcal{K}_2) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

Combining this with (3.5) and Lemma 3.2, we obtain a commutative diagram

(3.13)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(X,{}_n\mathcal{K}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(X,\mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \simeq\uparrow & & \uparrow\psi & & \uparrow & & \uparrow\simeq \\ H^0(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(X,\mathcal{K}_3(\mathbb{Z}/n)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2/n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \simeq\downarrow c_2 & & \downarrow c_2 & & \downarrow c_2 & & c_2\downarrow\simeq \\ H^0(X,\mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n(2))) & \underset{d}{\rightarrow} & H^2(X,\mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))) & \rightarrow & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mu_n(2)) & \rightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{H}^2(\mu_n(2))) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that ψ and the corresponding vertical arrow downward are surjective with identical kernels. A simple diagram chase shows that the maps $H^0(X,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \to \mathbb{H}^2(X,\mathcal{K}_2^\bullet)$ and $H^0(X,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mu_n(2))$ are surjective with identical kernels. In particular, there is a natural isomorphism $c_{2,X} : \mathbb{H}^2(X,\mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mu_n(2))$. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{H}^2(X,\mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) \to {}_nH^2(X,\mathcal{K}_2) \to 0$$

and the isomorphisms $H^2(X,\mathcal{K}_2) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ (see [30, Theorem 7]) and $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ (see [6, Theorem 3.16]), we now conclude the proof. □

3.3. **Theorem 1.1 for singular surfaces.** As an application of Theorem 3.4, we now prove an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for singular surfaces. This result was proven for normal projective surfaces in [2, Theorem 7.9]. Let $l \neq p$ be a prime number. Let X be a reduced projective surface over k.

Lemma 3.5. *$H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2))$ is divisible by l^n for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The exact sequence of Theorem 3.4 is compatible with the maps $\mathbb{Z}/l^n \to \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}$. Taking the direct limit, we obtain a short sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \xrightarrow{\tau_X} \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} \to 0. \tag{3.14}$$

The group on the left is divisible. It is known that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}$ is generated by the images of the maps $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$, where $C \subsetneq X$ is a reduced Cartier curve. It follows that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}$ is l^n-divisible. In particular, $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} = \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}\{l\}$ is also l^n-divisible. The lemma follows. □

Theorem 3.6. *Given a reduced projective surface X over k and a prime $l \neq p$, the following hold.*

1. *$H^1(X,\mathcal{K}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l = 0$.*
2. *The map $\tau_X : H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. In view of (3.14), the theorem is equivalent to showing that τ_X is injective. To show this, it suffices to prove the stronger assertion that the map $\delta := \rho_X^{\mathrm{semi}} \circ \tau_X : H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} \to J^2(X)\{l\}$ is an isomorphism.

In order to prove this, we need to prove a stronger version of its surjectivity assertion, namely, that for every $n \geq 1$, the map $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_{l^n}(2)) \to {}_{l^n}J^2(X)$ is surjective. In view of Theorem 3.4, it suffices to show that the map ${}_{l^n}\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to {}_{l^n}J^2(X)$ is surjective. To prove this, we use [35, Theorem 14], which says that we can find a reduced Cartier curve $C \subsetneq X$ (a suitable hypersurface section in a projective embedding) such that $C \cap X_{\mathrm{reg}} \subseteq C_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and the induced map ${}_{l^n}\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \to {}_{l^n}J^2(X)$ is surjective. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{l^n}\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) & \longrightarrow & {}_{l^n}\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}_{l^n}J^1(C) & \longrightarrow & {}_{l^n}J^2(X) \end{array}$$

then proves the desired surjectivity where the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism because the $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \twoheadrightarrow J^1(C))$ is uniquely l^n-divisible.

In the rest of the proof, we shall ignore the Tate twist in étale cohomology. Let δ_n denote the composite map $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_{l^n}) \to {}_{l^n}\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to {}_{l^n}J^2(X)$ so that $\delta = \varinjlim_n \delta_n$. Using the above surjectivity, we get a direct system of short exact sequences

$$0 \to T_{l^n}(X) \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_{l^n}) \to {}_{l^n}J^2(X) \to 0 \tag{3.15}$$

whose direct limit is the short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{l^\infty}(X) \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \to J^2(X)\{l\} \to 0. \tag{3.16}$$

To prove the theorem, we are only left with showing that $T_{l^\infty}(X) = 0$. Since $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \simeq \varinjlim_n H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_{l^n}) \simeq \varinjlim_n H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$, it follows that $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) = H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)\{l\}$. In particular, $T_{l^\infty}(X)$ is an l-primary torsion group.

We next consider a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \to T_{l^\infty}(X) & \to & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & J^2(X)\{l\} & \to & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_1^n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_2^n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_3^n} & & \\ 0 \to T_{l^\infty}(X) & \to & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow[\delta]{} & J^2(X)\{l\} & \to & 0, \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are simply the multiplication by l^n. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that the middle vertical arrow is surjective. We have shown above that $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_{l^n}) \to {}_{l^n}J^2(X)$ is surjective. In particular, the map $\ker(l_2^n) \to \mathrm{Ker}(l_3^n)$ is surjective. It follows that $T_{l^\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^n = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. We thus only have to show that T_{l^∞} is finite to finish the proof.

Now, an easy argument that involves dualizing the argument of [3, Theorem 2.5.4] (see [35, Proof of Theorem 15, Claim 2]), shows that there is an integer N_X, depending only on X and not on the integer n, such that

$$|H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_{l^n}))| \leq N_X \cdot |{}_{l^n}J^2(X)|. \tag{3.17}$$

Combining this with (3.15), it follows that either ${}_{l^n}J^2(X) = 0$ and hence $T_{l^n}(X) = 0$ or ${}_{l^n}J^2(X) \neq 0$ and $|T_{l^n}(X)| \cdot |{}_{l^n}J^2(X)| \leq N_X \cdot |{}_{l^n}J^2(X)|$ for every $n \geq 1$. In particular, either $T_{l^n}(X) = 0$ or $|T_{l^n}(X)| \leq N_X$ for every $n \geq 1$. But this implies that $T_{l^\infty}(X)$ is finite. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3.4. **Relation with Bloch's construction.** In [8, § 2], Bloch constructed a map $\lambda_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} \to H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2))$ for a smooth projective scheme X of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k. We end this section with the following lemma that explains the relation between Bloch's construction and ours when X is a smooth surface.

Lemma 3.7. *If X is a smooth surface over k, then $\tau_X : H^3_{ét}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\}$ coincides with the inverse of Bloch's map $\lambda_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} \to H^3_{ét}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2))$.*

Proof. To prove the lemma, we go back to the construction of $\tau_X : H^3_{ét}(X, \mu_n(2)) \to {}_{l^n}\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ in § 3.2 for $n \in k^\times$. Since X is smooth, there is an isomorphism of Zariski sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X^\times/n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}_n\mathcal{K}_2$ by [2, Lemma 6.2]. Since $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = 0$, we see that the top cohomology of all these sheaves vanish.

This implies that the map $\mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) \to {}_nH^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2)$ is simply the composite $\mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) \to H^2(X, {}_n\mathcal{K}_2) \to {}_nH^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2)$. Moreover, there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_n}\simeq \\ H^3_{ét}(X, \mu_n(2)) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{} & H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2))), \end{array} \tag{3.18}$$

where the right vertical arrow is the Galois symbol map. This induces a unique isomorphism $\alpha_n : H^3_{ét}(X, \mu_n(2)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet)$. One checks from (3.13) that τ_X is simply the composite

$$H^3_{ét}(X, \mu_n(2)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} {}_nH^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2). \tag{3.19}$$

We also have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_2(k(X))/n & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{x\in X^{(1)}} k(x)^\times/n & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{y\in X^{(2)}} \mathbb{Z}/n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_n} \\ H^2_{ét}(k(X), \mu_n(2)) & \to & \coprod_{x\in X^{(1)}} H^1_{ét}(k(x), \mu_n(1)) & \to & \coprod_{y\in X^{(2)}} H^0_{ét}(k(y), \mathbb{Z}/n), \end{array} \tag{3.20}$$

where all vertical arrows are the Galois symbols and are isomorphisms.

Since the Gersten resolution is universally exact, the middle cohomology of the top row is $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2/n)$ and the Bloch-Ogus resolution shows that the middle cohomology of the bottom row is $H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mu_n(2)))$. The isomorphism β_n in (3.18) is induced by the vertical arrows of (3.20).

For a fixed prime $l \neq p$, the map δ_{l^m} in (3.19) becomes an isomorphism on the limit over $m \geq 1$. Assuming this isomorphism, it follows from (3.19) that $\tau_X = \varinjlim_m \alpha_{l^m}$. On the other hand, it follows from Bloch's construction in [8, § 2] that $\lambda_X = \varinjlim_m \beta_{l^m}$. Since each α_n (with $n \in k^\times$) is the inverse of β_n by definition, the lemma follows. □

4. Roitman's torsion for weakly normal surfaces

The Roitman torsion theorem says that for a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k, the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0} \to J^d(X)$ is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups. This theorem was extended to normal projective schemes in [27]. However, when X has arbitrary singularities, this theorem is known only for the torsion prime-to-p (see [7] and [35]). In this section, we extend the Roitman torsion theorem to weakly normal surfaces. Later in this text, we shall prove a suitable generalization of this theorem in higher dimension. This generalization will be used to prove Theorem 1.2.

4.1. **Weakly normal schemes.** We continue with our assumption that the base field k is algebraically closed of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. However, we note that the definition of weakly normality does not need this assumption. Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A) \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be a reduced affine scheme. Let B be the integral closure of A in its total quotient ring F. We refer to [36] for the following definitions and properties. The semi-normalization of A in F is the subring

$$A_s = \{b \in B | \forall\ x \in X,\ b_x \in A_x + R(B_x)\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $R(B_x)$ is the Jacobson radical of B_x. The weak normalization of A is the subring

$$A_w = \{b \in B | \forall\ x \in X,\ (b_x)^{p^m} \in A_x + R(B_x) \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.2}$$

It follows immediately from the definition that weak normalization is same as the semi-normalization in characteristic 0. In general, weak normalization contains the semi-normalization though it may not necessarily be same. One says that A is semi-normal (resp. weakly normal) if $A = A_s$ (resp. $A = A_w$). The difference between semi-normalization and weak normalization in positive characteristic is exhibited by the following straightforward geometric conditions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A and B be as above. Then A_s is the largest among the subrings A' of B containing A such that*

1. *$\forall\ x \in \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$, there exists exactly one $x' \in \mathrm{Spec}\,(A')$ over x and*
2. *the canonical homomorphism $k(x) \to k(x')$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let A and B be as above. Then A_w is the largest among the subrings A' of B containing A such that*

1. *$\forall\ x \in \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$, there exists exactly one $x' \in \mathrm{Spec}\,(A')$ over x and*
2. *the canonical homomorphism $k(x) \to k(x')$ is a finite purely inseparable extension of $k(x)$.*

Equivalently, A_w is the largest among the subrings A' of B containing A such that the map $\mathrm{Spec}\,(A_w) \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$ is a universal homeomorphism.

It follows from the above definition that over an algebraically closed field, the notions of weak normality and semi-normality coincide for curves. For surfaces, we have the following characterization of weak normality.

Corollary 4.3. *Let A be a 2-dimensional reduced affine ring over an algebraically closed field k. Let B be the integral closure of A in its quotient field. Let $I \subset B$ be the largest conductor ideal for the normalization. Then A is weakly normal if and only if B/I is reduced and the inclusion $A/I \to B/I$ is a generically separable map.*

The proof of the corollary follows from Lemma 4.2 and the following elementary fact.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $K \hookrightarrow L$ be a finite extension of fields both of which are of finite type and of transcendence degree one over an algebraically closed field k. Then this inclusion can be factorized as $K \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow L$, where E is a purely inseparable extension of K and L is a separable extension of E.*

Recall that a reduced scheme $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is called *weakly normal* if every affine open subset of X is weakly normal.

Example 4.5. Using Corollary 4.3, we can construct many examples of semi-normal surfaces which are not weakly normal. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $R = k[x]$ be the polynomial ring and let $S = k[x,t]/(t^p - x)$. Consider the

commutative squares of rings and schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & k[x,t] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^1_k & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^2_k \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \mathbb{A}^1_k & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

so that $A = \{(f(x), g(x,t)) | f(t^p) = g(t^p, t)\}$ and $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$. The square on the right is the push-out diagram of schemes, induced by the pull-back diagram of rings on the left.

The horizontal arrows in the right square are closed immersions and f is a finite map which is isomorphism away from $\mathbb{A}^1_k \hookrightarrow X$. In particular, it is the normalization map with conducting subscheme $\mathbb{A}^1_k$. Since g is a finite purely inseparable map of reduced curves which is not an isomorphism, it follows that f is not an isomorphism. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that X is semi-normal but not weakly normal.

4.2. **The torsion theorem for weakly normal surfaces.** For the remaining part of this section, we shall identify the two Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ for curves and surfaces using [6, Theorem 3.16]. To prove the Roitman torsion theorem for a weakly normal projective surface, we need the following excision result for our Chow group.

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a reduced quasi-projective weakly normal surface over k and let $f : \overline{X} \to X$ denote the normalization map. Let $Y \subset X$ denote the smallest conducting closed subscheme with $\overline{Y} = Y \times_X \overline{X}$. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$SK_1(\overline{X}) \oplus SK_1(Y) \to SK_1(\overline{Y}) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X}) \to 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. We have a commutative diagram of relative and birelative K-theory exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & & & K_0(X,\overline{X},Y) & & & & & & K_{-1}(X,\overline{X},Y) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(X) & \to & K_1(Y) & \longrightarrow & K_0(X,Y) & \longrightarrow & K_0(X) & \to & K_0(Y) & \longrightarrow & K_{-1}(X,Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1(\overline{X}) & \to & K_1(\overline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\overline{X}) & \to & K_0(\overline{Y}) & \longrightarrow & K_{-1}(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & K_{-1}(X,\overline{X},Y) & & & & & & K_{-2}(X,\overline{X},Y). \end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

Using the Thomason-Trobaugh spectral sequence and the results of Bass [4] that the sheaves $\mathcal{K}_{i,(X,\overline{X},Y)}$ on Y vanish for $i \leq 0$, we see that $K_i(X,\overline{X},Y) = 0$ for $i \leq -1$. It also follows from the spectral sequence that $K_0(X,\overline{X},Y) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{K}_{1,(X,\overline{X},Y)})$. It follows from [17, Theorem 0.2] that $H^1(Y, \mathcal{K}_{1,(X,\overline{X},Y)}) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Y/\mathcal{I}_Y^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^1_{\overline{Y}/Y})$. Since X is weakly normal, it follows from Corollary 4.3 that the map $\overline{Y} \to Y$ is a finite generically étale map of reduced schemes. Hence $\Omega^1_{\overline{Y}/Y}$ has 0-dimensional support and we conclude that $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Y/\mathcal{I}_Y^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^1_{\overline{Y}/Y}) = 0$. In particular, $K_0(X,\overline{X},Y) = 0$.

A diagram chase in (4.5) shows that there is an exact sequence

$$K_1(\overline{X}) \oplus K_1(Y) \to K_1(\overline{Y}) \to \widetilde{K}_0(X) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\overline{X}) \oplus \widetilde{K}_0(Y) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\overline{Y}),$$

where $\widetilde{K}_0(-) = \mathrm{Ker}(K_0(-) \to H^0(-, \mathbb{Z}))$. Using the map of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X^\times \to f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}^\times)$, this exact sequence maps to a similar unit-Pic exact sequence

$$U(\overline{X}) \oplus U(Y) \to U(\overline{Y}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}) \oplus \mathrm{Pic}(Y) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{Y}).$$

Taking the kernels and using the Levine's formula $SK_0(Z) := \mathrm{Ker}(\widetilde{K}_0(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z)) \simeq H^2(Z, \mathcal{K}_2) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_0(Z)$ for a reduced surface Z, we get (4.4). □

Lemma 4.7. *Let Y be a reduced curve over k and let $r \geq 0$ denote the number of irreducible components of Y which are projective over k. If Y is affine, then $SK_1(Y)$ is uniquely divisible. If Y is projective, then $SK_1(Y)$ is divisible, $SK_1(Y)\{l\} \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)^r$ for a prime $l \neq p$ and $SK_1(Y)\{p\} = 0$.*

Proof. It follows from [2, Theorem 5.3] that $SK_1(Y) \simeq (k^\times)^r \oplus V$, where V is uniquely divisible. The lemma follows directly from this isomorphism. □

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a reduced projective weakly normal surface over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic p. Then, $A^2(X)$ is a semi-abelian variety and the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0} \to A^2(X)$ is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.*

Proof. We can find a finite collection of reduced complete intersection Cartier curves $\{C_1, \cdots, C_r\}$ on X such that the induced map of algebraic groups $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{Pic}^0(C_i) \to A^2(X)$ is surjective (see, for instance, [14, (7.1), p. 657]). In characteristic zero, we can further assume by [12, Corollary 2.5] that each of these curves is weakly normal. Since a surjective morphism of smooth connected algebraic groups restricts to a surjective map on their unipotent and semi-abelian parts and since $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ is semi-abelian if C is a weakly normal curve (easy to check), it follows that $A^2(X)$ is a semi-abelian variety in characteristic zero.

In characteristic $p \geq 2$, the surjections $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{Pic}^0(C_i) \twoheadrightarrow A^2(X) \twoheadrightarrow J^2(X)$ and [24, Lemma 2.7] together imply that the induced maps $(\mathrm{CH}^2(X)_{\deg 0})_{\mathrm{tors}} \to A^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}} \to J^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ are also surjective. Since $A^2_{\mathrm{unip}}(X)$ is a p-primary torsion group of bounded exponent, the theorem will follow if we prove, in any characteristic, that the composite map $\rho_X^{\mathrm{semi}} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0} \to A^2(X) \to J^2(X)$ is injective on the torsion subgroup.

It follows from [35, Theorem 15] that the map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}\{l\} \to J^2(X)\{l\}$ is an isomorphism for every prime $l \neq p$. This also follows immediately from Theorem 3.4 and the proof of Theorem 3.6. We can thus assume that $p \geq 2$ and $l = p$.

Let $f : \overline{X} \to X$ be the normalization and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}\{p\} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X})_{\deg 0}\{p\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J^2(X)\{p\} & \longrightarrow & J^2(\overline{X})\{p\}. \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by [27, Theorem 1.6]. Thus, it suffices to prove the stronger assertion that the map $f^* : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{p\} \to \mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X})\{p\}$ is an isomorphism. Letting $A = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(\overline{X}))$, it is enough to show that A is uniquely p-divisible.

It follows from Lemma 4.6 that there is an exact sequence

$$SK_1(\overline{X}) \oplus SK_1(Y) \to SK_1(\overline{Y}) \to A \to 0.$$

Following the notations of Lemma 4.6, it follows from Lemma 4.7 that $SK_1(Y)$ and $SK_1(\overline{Y})$ are uniquely p-divisible. Furthermore, it follows from [24, Theorem 5.6] that

$SK_1(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = 0$. An elementary argument now shows that A must be uniquely p-divisible. This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 4.9. *Let X be a reduced projective weakly normal surface over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Then $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}$ is finite-dimensional. That is, the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0} \to A^2(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.8, it suffices to show that for any reduced projective scheme X of dimension $d \geq 1$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, the group $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$ is a torsion abelian group.

Given $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$, we can find a reduced Cartier curve $C \subseteq X$ such that α lies in the image of the push-forward map $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \to \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$. It is therefore enough to show that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ is torsion. But this is a special case of the more general fact that $G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is torsion whenever G is a smooth commutative algebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. □

5. Bloch's torsion theorem for 0-cycles with modulus

We continue with our assumption that k is algebraically closed with exponential characteristic p. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor on X. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in this section.

5.1. **Relative étale cohomology.** Given an étale sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ and a finite map $f : Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, let $\mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)} := \mathrm{Cone}(\mathcal{F}|_X \to f_*(\mathcal{F}|_Y))[-1]$ be the chain complex of étale sheaves on X. The exactness of f_* on étale sheaves implies that there is a long exact sequence of étale (hyper)cohomology groups

$$0 \to H^0_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)}) \to H^0_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathcal{F}) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)}) \to \cdots . \tag{5.1}$$

If $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion with complement $j : U \hookrightarrow X$, then one checks immediately from the above definition that $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X, j_!(\mathcal{F}|_U))$. We conclude that if X is projective over k and $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is closed, then $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)})$ is same as the étale cohomology with compact support $H^*_{c,\acute{e}t}(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{F})$ of $X \setminus Y$.

Let us now consider an abstract blow-up diagram in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle \pi'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[f]{} & X. \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

This is a Cartesian square in which the horizontal arrows are closed immersions, π is a proper birational morphism such that $X' \setminus Y' \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \setminus Y$. The proper base change theorem for the étale cohomology implies that for a torsion constructible étale sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, the cohomology groups $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(-, \mathcal{F})$ satisfy the *cdh*-descent. In particular, the canonical map

$$\pi^* : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)}) \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X', \mathcal{F}_{(X',Y')}) \tag{5.3}$$

is an isomorphism for every $i \geq 0$. We shall write the *relative étale cohomology groups* $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}_{(X,Y)})$ for a closed immersion $Y \hookrightarrow X$ as $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X|Y, \mathcal{F})$.

5.2. **A weak Lefschetz type theorem for the double.** We now come back to our situation of X being a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and $D \subset X$ an effective Cartier divisor. If $d \geq 2$ and $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$ is a locally closed embedding, we let $\tau : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a smooth hypersurface section not contained in D and set $F = D \cap Y$. We shall use these notations throughout the rest of this section.

Given a prime-to-p integer n, it is clear from the definition of the relative étale cohomology and its *cdh*-descent (see § 5.1) that the pull-back maps via the closed immersions $\iota_{\pm} : X \hookrightarrow S_X$ induce, for each $i \geq 0$, a split exact sequence of étale cohomology

$$0 \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} H^i_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n) \to 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Here, the splitting of ι_-^* is given by the pull-back $\Delta^* : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n) \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n)$.

Let us next recall from Gabber's construction [15] of the Gysin morphism for étale cohomology (see, also [41, Definition 2.1]) that the regular closed immersions $\tau : Y \hookrightarrow X$ and $\tau_1 : S_Y \hookrightarrow S_X$ induce Gysin morphisms $\tau_* : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mu_n) \to H^{i+2}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n)$ and $\tau_{1,*} : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \mu_n) \to H^{i+2}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n)$ for $i \geq 0$. Furthermore, it follows from the Cartesian square (2.6) and [41, Definition 2.1, Proposition 2.10] (see also [15, Proposition 1.1.3]) that the pull-back via the closed immersions $\iota_{\pm} : X \hookrightarrow S_X$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\pm}^*} & H^i_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mu_n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_*} \\ H^{i+2}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n) & \xrightarrow[\iota_{\pm}^*]{} & H^{i+2}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n). \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

Lemma 5.1. *If $d \geq 3$, then the Gysin maps $\tau_* : H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mu_n) \to H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n)$ and $\tau_{1,*} : H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \mu_n) \to H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n)$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. The first isomorphism is a well known consequence of the weak Lefschetz theorem for étale cohomology. The main problem is to prove the second isomorphism. Since k is algebraically closed, we can replace μ_n by the constant sheaf $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n$.

Recall from [13, § 2] that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ (which we shall write in short as $\mathcal{O}(Y)$) on X has a canonical class $[\mathcal{O}(Y)] \in H^1_{Y,\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and its image via the boundary map $H^1_{Y,\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^2_{Y,\acute{e}t}(X, \Lambda)$ is Deligne's localized Chern class $c_1(Y)$. Here, $H^*_{Y,\acute{e}t}(X, -)$ denotes the étale cohomology with support in Y. On the level of the derived category $D^+(Y, \Lambda)$, this Chern class is given in terms of the map $c_1(Y) : \Lambda \to \tau^! \Lambda(1)[2]$. Using this Chern class, Gabber's Gysin morphism $\tau_* : H^*_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \Lambda) \to H^{*+2}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \Lambda)$ is the one induced on the cohomology by the composite map $\tau_* : \tau_*(\Lambda_Y) \to \tau_* \tau^!(\Lambda_Y(1)[2]) \to \Lambda_X(1)[2]$ in $D^+(X, \Lambda)$.

Corresponding to the Cartesian square (2.6), we have $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}(S_Y)) = \mathcal{O}(Y \amalg Y)$ and hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{S_Y,\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2_{S_Y,\acute{e}t}(S_X, \Lambda) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*} \\ H^1_{Y \amalg Y,\acute{e}t}(X \amalg X, \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow[\partial]{} & H^2_{Y \amalg Y,\acute{e}t}(X \amalg X, \Lambda). \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

We thus have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_{1,*}(\Lambda_{S_Y}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{1,*}} & \Lambda_{S_X}(1)[2] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*} \\ \pi_* \psi_*(\Lambda_{Y \amalg Y}) & \xrightarrow[\pi_* \psi_*]{} & \pi_* \Lambda_{X \amalg X}(1)[2] \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \tau_*(\Lambda_Y) & \xrightarrow{\tau_*} & \Lambda_X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_F^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_D^*} \\ \tau_* \iota_{F,*}(\Lambda_F) & \xrightarrow[\tau_*]{} & \iota_*(\Lambda_D) \end{array} \tag{5.7}$$

in $D^+(S_X, \Lambda)$ and $D^+(X, \Lambda)$.

We next observe that the canonical map $\Lambda_{X\amalg X} \to \Lambda_X \oplus \Lambda_X$, induced by the inclusions of the two components, is an isomorphism. We thus have a sequence of maps $\Lambda_{S_X} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \pi_*(\Lambda_{X\amalg X}) \simeq \Lambda_{X_+} \oplus \Lambda_{X_-} \to \Lambda_D$, where the last map is the difference of two restrictions $\Lambda_{X_\pm} \to \iota_*(\Lambda_D)$. Furthermore, it is easy to check that the sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda_{S_X} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \pi_*(\Lambda_{X\amalg X}) \to \Lambda_D \to 0$$

is exact. A combination of this with (5.7) yields a commutative diagram of exact triangles in $D^+(S_X, \Lambda)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tau_{1,*}(\Lambda_{S_Y}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \pi_*\psi_*(\Lambda_{Y\amalg Y}) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{1,*}(\Lambda_F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_*\psi_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{S_X} & \xrightarrow[\pi^*]{} & \pi_*(\Lambda_{X\amalg X}) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_D & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{5.8}$$

Since all the underlying maps in (2.6) are finite, we obtain a commutative diagram of long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{2d-4}_{\acute{e}t}(Y \amalg Y, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-4}_{\acute{e}t}(F, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(Y \amalg Y, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} & & \downarrow & & \\ H^{2d-2}_{\acute{e}t}(X \amalg X, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-2}_{\acute{e}t}(D, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X \amalg X, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

Since $d \geq 3$, it follows from the weak Lefschetz theorem for étale cohomology (see [39, Theorem VI.7.1]) that the vertical arrow on the left end of (5.9) is surjective and the one on the right end is an isomorphism. It follows from our choice of $F = D \cap H_1 \hookrightarrow D$, the isomorphism $H^{2d-2}_{\acute{e}t}(D, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-2}_{\acute{e}t}(D^N, \Lambda)$ and [39, Lemma VI.11.3] that the second vertical arrow from the left is an isomorphism. A diagram chase now shows that $\tau_{1,*}$ is an isomorphism. This proves the lemma. □

Remark 5.2. We should remark here that Lemma 5.1 proves an analogue of the weak Lefschetz theorem only for a specific étale cohomology group. We do not expect this to be true for the other cohomology groups in general and it will depend critically on D.

5.3. **The torsion in Chow group with modulus and relative étale cohomology.** Continuing with the notations of § 5.3, recall from [6, § 4, 5] that there are maps $p_{\pm,*}$: $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)$ and $\iota^*_\pm : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$, where $p_{\pm,*}([x]) = \iota_{\pm,*}([x])$ and $\iota^*_\pm$ is induced by the projection map $\mathcal{Z}_0(S_X \setminus D) = \mathcal{Z}_0(X_+ \setminus D) \oplus \mathcal{Z}_0(X_- \setminus D) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X_\pm \setminus D)$. It follows at once from this description of the projection maps $\iota^*_\pm$ that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(S_Y)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{\iota^*_\pm} & \mathrm{CH}_0(Y)_{\deg 0} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_*} \\ \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow[\iota^*_\pm]{} & \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0}. \end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

We shall use the following decomposition theorem from [6, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 5.3. *The projection map $\Delta : S_X \to X$ induces a flat pull-back $\Delta^* : \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)$ such that $\iota^*_\pm \circ \Delta^* = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{CH}_0(X)}$. Moreover, there is a split exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*_-} \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic p. Then for any prime $l \neq p$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\lambda_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(d)).$$

Proof. We shall ignore the Tate twist in the étale cohomology as k is algebraically closed. When $D = \emptyset$, we take $\lambda_{X|D}$ to be the isomorphism $\lambda_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ given by Bloch [8, § 2].

We now assume $D \neq \emptyset$ and let S_X be the double of X along D. We shall first prove by induction on d that there exists an isomorphism

$$\lambda_{S_X} : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(d)) \tag{5.12}$$

such that $\iota_{\pm}^* \circ \lambda_{S_X} = \lambda_X \circ \iota_{\pm}^*$.

When $d = 1$, it follows easily from the Kummer sequence and [34, Proposition 1.4] that there is a natural isomorphism $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(C, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(C)$ for any reduced curve C over k and any integer $n \geq 1$ prime to p. The naturality of this isomorphism proves our assertion. Note that this isomorphism coincides with that of Bloch when C is smooth, as one directly checks (or see [8, Proposition 3.6]).

We next assume $d = 2$. In this case, we have shown in Theorem 3.4 that there is a homomorphism $\tau_Y : H^3_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mu_n) \to {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(Y)$ for any reduced quasi-projective surface Y over k. We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^3_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{S_X}} & {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(S_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \iota_-^*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_-^*} \\ H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n) & \xrightarrow[\tau_X]{} & {}_n\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

commutes.

To prove this, recall from the construction of τ_Y in § 3 that there are isomorphisms $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{H}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2^{\bullet})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_0(Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2)$ which are clearly functorial for the normalization map $Y^N \to Y$. Since $X_{\pm}$ are two disjoint components of the normalization S_X^N, we see that these isomorphisms are functorial for the inclusions $\iota_{\pm} : X_{\pm} \hookrightarrow S_X$.

For a surface Y, the map τ_Y is then the natural map $\mathbb{H}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2^{\bullet}) \to {}_nH^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2)$ obtained via the exact sequence $\mathbb{H}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2^{\bullet}) \to H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2) \xrightarrow{n} H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_2)$. The commutativity of (5.13) then follows immediately from the naturality of the complex of Zariski sheaves on $\mathcal{K}_2[-1] \to \mathcal{K}_2^{\bullet} \to \mathcal{K}_2$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$. This proves the claim.

Theorem 3.6 says that $\tau_Y : H^3_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{CH}_0(Y)\{l\}$ is an isomorphism on the limit for every prime $l \neq p$. We let $\lambda_Y : \mathrm{CH}_0(Y)\{l\} \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ be the inverse of this isomorphism. When Y is smooth over k, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that λ_Y agrees with Bloch's isomorphism. It follows then from (5.13) that

$$\iota_{\pm}^* \circ \lambda_{S_X} = \lambda_X \circ \iota_{\pm}^*. \tag{5.14}$$

We now assume $\dim(X) \geq 3$. We choose a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$ and hypersurfaces $H_1, \cdots, H_{d-2}$ as we did before in the proof of Proposition 2.3. We continue to use the notations of Proposition 2.3. We set $Y = X_1 := X \cap H_1$ and assume by induction that there is an isomorphism $\lambda_{S_Y} : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_Y)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ such

that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(S_Y)\{l\} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{S_Y}} & H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_\pm^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_\pm^*} \\ \mathrm{CH}_0(Y)\{l\} & \xrightarrow[\lambda_Y]{} & H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \end{array} \tag{5.15}$$

commutes.

We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(S_Y)\{l\} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{S_Y}} & H^{2d-3}_{\acute{e}t}(S_Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{1,*}} \\ \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)\{l\} & \xrightarrow[\lambda_{S_X}]{} & H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l). \end{array} \tag{5.16}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.3 that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism and Lemma 5.1 says that the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Since λ_{S_Y} is an isomorphism too, it follows that there is a unique isomorphism $\lambda_{S_X} : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ such that (5.16) commutes.

We now check that $\iota_\pm^* \circ \lambda_{S_X} = \lambda_X \circ \iota_\pm^*$. To do this, we consider the diagram

(5.17)



We need to show that the front face of this cube commutes. Since $\tau_{1,*} : \mathrm{CH}_0(S_Y)\{l\} \to \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X))\{l\}$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that all other faces of the cube commute. The top face commutes by (5.10) and the bottom face commutes by (5.5). The left face commutes by (5.16) and the right face commutes by [8, Proposition 3.3]. Finally, the back face commutes by (5.15) and we are done.

To finish the proof of the theorem, we use (5.4) and Theorem 5.3 and consider the diagram of split exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)\{l\} & \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} & \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)\{l\} & \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} & \mathrm{CH}_0(X)\{l\} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_{S_X}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_X} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow[p_{+,*}]{} & H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow[\iota_-^*]{} & H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{5.18}$$

It follows from (5.12) that the square on the right is commutative. Moroever, the maps λ_{S_X} and λ_X are both isomorphisms. We conclude that there is an isomorphism $\lambda_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)\{l\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2d-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$. □

5.4. **Applications.** We now deduce two applications of Theorem 5.4. Since the étale cohomology of $\mu_n(i)$ is nil-invariant whenever $(n,p)=1$, it follows from (5.1) that $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X|D,\mu_n(i)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*_{\acute{e}t}(X|D_{\rm red},\mu_n(i))$. Using Theorem 5.4, we therefore obtain the following result about the prime-to-p torsion in the Chow group with modulus.

Theorem 5.5. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Then, the restriction map ${}_n{\rm CH}_0(X|D) \to {}_n{\rm CH}_0(X|D_{\rm red})$ is an isomorphism for every integer n prime to p.*

Our second application is the following extension of Theorem 3.6 to the cohomology of relative K_2-sheaf on a smooth surface. Recall that for a closed immersion $Y \hookrightarrow X$ in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, the relative K-theory sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{i,(X,Y)}$ is the Zariski sheaf on X associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto K_i(U, Y\cap U)$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let X be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic p and let $l \neq p$ be a prime. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Then, the following hold.*

(1) $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l = 0$.
(2) $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)})\{l\} \simeq H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2))$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.4 that $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \simeq {\rm CH}_0(X|D)\{l\}$. On the other hand, a combination of [6, Theorem 1.7] and [23, Lemma 2.1] shows that there is a canonical isomorphism ${\rm CH}_0(X|D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)})$. This proves (2).

To prove (1), we consider the following commutative diagram for any integer $n \in k^\times$.

(5.19)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & H^1(S_X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n & \to & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(S_X|X_-, \mu_n(2)) & \to & {}_n{\rm CH}_0(X|D) \to 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & H^1(S_X, \mathcal{K}_{2,S_X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n & \to & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(S_X, \mu_n(2)) & \to & {}_n{\rm CH}_0(S_X) \to 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n & \to & H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n(2)) & \to & {}_n{\rm CH}_0(X) \to 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

The columns on the left and in the middle are exact by the splitting $\Delta \circ \iota_- = {\rm Id}_X$ in (2.1). The column on the right is exact by Theorem 5.3. The middle and the bottom rows are exact by Theorem 3.4. It follows that the top row is also exact. Using the isomorphism $\iota_+^* : H^3_{\acute{e}t}(S_X|X_-, \mu_n(2)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mu_n(2))$ (see (5.3)) and taking the direct limit of the terms in (5.19) with respect to the direct system $\{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\}_n$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(S_X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l \to H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X|D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \to {\rm CH}_0(X|D)\{l\} \to 0. \tag{5.20}$$

Using (5.20) Theorem 5.4, we conclude that $H^1(S_X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l = 0$ for every prime $l \neq p$. To finish the proof, it suffices now to show that the pull-back map $\iota_+^* : H^1(S_X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)}) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)})$ is surjective.

Given an open subset $W \subset D$, let $U = S_X \setminus (D \setminus W)$ be the open subset of S_X. Let $\mathcal{K}_{i,(S_X,X_-,D)}$ be the Zariski sheaf on D associated to the presheaf $W \mapsto K_i(U, X_+ \cap$

$U, X_- \cap U) = \mathrm{hofib}((K(U, X_- \cap U) \xrightarrow{i_+^*} K(X_+ \cap U, D \cap U))$ (see [43, Proposition A.5]). There is an exact sequence of K-theory sheaves

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-,D)}) \to \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)} \to \mathcal{K}_{2,(X_+,D)} \to \nu_*(\mathcal{K}_{1,(S_X,X_-,D)}),$$

where $\nu : D \hookrightarrow S_X$ is the inclusion. We have $\mathcal{K}_{1,(S_X,X_-,D)} = \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \otimes_D \Omega^1_{D/X}$ by [17, Theorem 1.1] and the latter term is zero. We thus get an exact sequence

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-,D)}) \to \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)} \to \mathcal{K}_{2,(X_+,D)} \to 0.$$

Since $H^2(S_X, \iota_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-,D)}) = H^2(D, \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-,D)}) = 0$, we get $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(S_X,X_-)}) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X_+,D)}) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,D)})$. □

6. Roitman's torsion theorem for 0-cycles with modulus

In this section, we prove the Roitman torsion theorem (Theorem 1.2) for the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus. We shall deduce this result by a more general Roitman torsion theorem for singular varieties which are obtained by joining two smooth schemes along a common reduced Cartier divisor. As before, we assume the base field k to be algebraically closed of exponential characteristic p.

Let X_+ and X_- be two smooth connected quasi-projective schemes of dimension $d \geq 3$ over k and let $X_+ \xleftarrow{i_+} D \xhookrightarrow{i_-} X_-$ be two closed embeddings such that D is a reduced effective Cartier divisor on each $X_\pm$ via these embeddings. Let X be the quasi-projective scheme over k such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{i_+} & X_+ \\ {\scriptstyle i_-}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_+} \\ X_- & \xrightarrow[\iota_-]{} & X \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

in co-Cartesian in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$.

It is easy to check that all arrows in (6.1) are closed immersions and X is a reduced scheme with irreducible components $\{X_+, X_-\}$ and $X_{\mathrm{sing}} = D_{\mathrm{red}}$. In particular, the canonical map $\pi = \iota_+ \amalg \iota_- : X_+ \amalg X_- \to X$ is the normalization map. Let $U = X_{\mathrm{reg}} = (X_+ \setminus D) \amalg (X_- \setminus D)$. Let $C \hookrightarrow X$ be a reduced Cartier curve (see § 2.1) such that each irreducible component of $C \setminus D$ is smooth and it has only double point singularities.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists a locally closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$ such that for a general set of distinct hypersurfaces $H_1, \cdots, H_{d-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$ of large degree containing C, the intersection $L = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-2}$ satisfies the following.*

1. *$X \cap L$ is reduced.*
2. *$D \cap L$ is reduced.*
3. *$X_\pm \cap L$ is an integral normal surface whose singular locus is contained in $C_{\mathrm{sing}} \cap D$.*
4. *The inclusion $C \subset (X \cap L)$ is a local complete intersection along D.*

Proof. Since X is reduced of dimension $d \geq 3$, and since $C \subset X$ is a local complete intersection along $D_{\mathrm{red}} = X_{\mathrm{sing}}$, it follows from [29, Lemma 1.2] (see also the proofs of [31, Lemma 1.4] and [5, Sublemma 1]) that for all $m \gg 1$, there is an open dense subset $\mathcal{U}_n(C, m)$ of the scheme $\mathcal{H}_n(C, m)$ of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degree m containing C such that the following hold.

a) For general distinct $H_1, \cdots, H_{d-2} \in \mathcal{U}_n(C, m)$, the scheme-theoretic intersection $L = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-2}$ has the property that $X \cap L$ is reduced away from C.
b) $D \cap L$ is reduced away from C.
c) $X_\pm \cap L$ is integral away from C.

d) $C \subset (X \cap L)$ is a local complete intersection along D.

Note that as $X_\pm$ is smooth and $X_\pm \cap L$ is a complete intersection in $X_\pm$, it follows that $X_\pm \cap L$ is a Cohen-Macaulay surface. Since C is nowhere dense in $X_\pm \cap L$, it follows from (b) that $X_\pm \cap L$ must be irreducible. Since C does not contain the generic point of $X_\pm \cap L$, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that $X_\pm \cap L$ is integral.

Setting $W := C \setminus (C_{\rm sing} \cap D)$ and following [1, § 5], let $W(\Omega^1_C, e)$ denote the locally closed subset of points in W where the embedding dimension of W is e. It follows from our assumption on the singularities of $C \setminus D$ that $\max\limits_e\{\dim(W(\Omega^1_C, e))+e\} \leq 2$. We conclude from [1, Theorem 7] that for all $m \gg 1$, there is an open dense subset $\mathcal{W}_n(W, m)$ of the scheme $\mathcal{H}_n(W, m)$ of hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degree m containing W such that for general distinct $H_1, \cdots, H_{d-2} \in \mathcal{W}_n(W, m)$, the scheme-theoretic intersection $L = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-2}$ has the following properties.

a') $X \cap L$ is a complete intersection in X of dimension two.
b') $(X \cap L) \setminus D$ is smooth.
c') $X_\pm \cap L$ is smooth away from $C_{\rm sing} \cap D$.

It follows from (c') that $X_\pm \cap L$ is a Cohen-Macaulay surface whose singular locus is contained in $C_{\rm sing} \cap D$. It follows from Serre's normality condition that $X_\pm \cap L$ is normal. Since C is the closure of W in X, we must have $\mathcal{H}_n(W, m) = \mathcal{H}_n(C, m)$ and in particular, $D \subset X \cap L$. Combining (a) - (d) and (a') - (c') together, we conclude that there is a closed immersion $Y \subset X$ with the following properties.

(1) $\dim(Y) = 2$.
(2) The inclusions $Y \subset X$, $(X_\pm \cap Y) \subset X_\pm$ and $(D \cap Y) \subset D$ are all complete intersections.
(3) Y is reduced away from $C \cap D$.
(4) $(D \cap Y) \subset Y$ is a Cartier divisor which is reduced away from C.
(5) $X_\pm \cap Y$ is an integral normal surface which is smooth away from $C_{\rm sing} \cap D$.
(6) $C \subset Y$ is a local complete intersection along D.
(7) $Y \setminus D$ is smooth.

If $Y \subset X$ is as above, then (6) says that the local rings of Y at $C \cap D$ contain regular elements. In particular, $C \cap D$ can contain no embedded point of Y. We conclude from (3) and Lemma 6.2 that a surface Y as above must be reduced. Since $D \subset X_\pm$ is a Cartier divisor, it is Cohen-Macaulay and hence (2) shows that $D \cap Y$ is a complete intersection Cohen-Macaulay curve inside D. Since $C \cap D$ can contain no generic point of $D \cap Y$, it follows form (4) and Lemma 6.2 that $D \cap Y$ is a reduced curve. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

The verification of the following straightforward commutative algebra result is left to the reader.

Lemma 6.2. *Let R be commutative Noetherian ring such that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is reduced for every associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R. Then R must be reduced.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let $X = X_+ \amalg_D X_-$ be as in* (6.1) *and let $Y = X \cap L$ be the complete intersection surface as obtained in Lemma 6.1. Assume that X is projective. Then, $A^2(Y)$ is a semi-abelian variety and the Roitman torsion theorem holds for Y. That is, the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_Y : {\rm CH}^{LW}_0(Y)_{\deg 0} \to A^2(Y)$ is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.*

Proof. We let $Y_\pm = X_\pm \cap Y$ and $E = D \cap Y = D \cap Y_\pm$. Let $\iota'_\pm : Y_\pm \hookrightarrow Y$ be the inclusion maps. It follows from the construction of $Y \subset X$ and an easy variant of [6, Lemma 2.2] that the canonical map $Y_+ \amalg_E Y_- \to Y$ is an isomorphism. It also follows

from Lemma 6.1 that $\iota'_+ \amalg \iota'_- : Y_+ \amalg Y_- \to Y$ is the normalization map. Let us denote this map by π'.

Let $\mathcal{I}_\pm \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y_\pm}$ denote the defining ideal sheaf for the inclusion $E \subset Y_\pm$. It is then immediate from (6.1) that $\pi'^*(\mathcal{I}_E) = I_+ \times I_-$ which is actually in $\mathcal{O}_Y$ under the inclusion $\pi'^* : \mathcal{O}_Y \hookrightarrow \pi'^*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_+ \amalg Y_-})$. It follows that $E \hookrightarrow Y$ is a conducting subscheme for π'. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that $\pi'^*(E) = E \amalg E$ is reduced. Since $\pi' : E \amalg E \to E$ is just the collapse map, it is clearly finite étale. We conclude from Corollary 4.3 that Y is a reduced weakly normal projective surface. The lemma now follows from Theorem 4.8. □

Theorem 6.4. *Let X_+ and X_- be two smooth projective schemes of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $X = X_+ \amalg_D X_-$ be as in* (6.1)*. Then, the Albanese variety $A^d(X)$ is a semi-abelian variety and the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_X : \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0} \to A^d(X)$ is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.*

Proof. Since the conducting subscheme $D \amalg D \hookrightarrow X_+ \amalg X_-$ for the normalization map $X_+ \amalg X_- \to X$ is reduced by our assumption, it follows from [20, Proposition 1.4] that X is a semi-normal. Hence, in characteristic zero, a general reduced Cartier curve on X containing a 0-cycle is weakly normal by [12, Corollary 2.5]. We can thus repeat the argument of the proof of Theorem 4.8, which reduces the theorem to showing only that the restriction of ρ_X to the p-primary torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0}$ is injective when $p \geq 2$.

When X is a curve, it follows from our assumption and [6, Proposition 2.3] that the normalization map $\pi : X_+ \amalg X_- \to X$ has reduced conducting subscheme D. It is well known in this case that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \simeq A^1(X) \simeq J^1(X)$. The case $d = 2$ is Lemma 6.3. So we assume $d \geq 3$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ be such that $n\alpha = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ for some integer $n = p^m$. Equivalently, $n\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$. Let us assume further that $\rho_X^{\mathrm{semi}}(\alpha) = 0$.

We can now use [5, Lemma 2.1] to find a reduced Cartier curve C on X and a function $f \in \mathcal{O}^\times_{C,S}$ such that $n\alpha = \mathrm{div}(f)$, where $S = C \cap X_{\mathrm{sing}} = C \cap D$. Since part of $n\alpha$ supported on any connected component of C is also of the form $n\alpha' = \mathrm{div}_{C'}(f')$ for some Cartier curve C' and some f', we can assume that C is connected. Let $\{C_1, \cdots, C_r\}$ denote the set of irreducible components of C.

We set $U = X \setminus D = (X_+ \setminus D) \amalg (X_- \setminus D)$. Let $\phi : X' \to X$ be a successive blow-up at smooth points such that the following hold.

(1) The strict transform D_i of each C_i is smooth along $\phi^{-1}(U)$.
(2) $D_i \cap D_j \cap \phi^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
(3) Each D_i intersects the exceptional divisor (which is reduced) transversely at smooth points.

It is clear that there exists a finite set of blown-up closed points $T \subset U$ such that $\phi : \phi^{-1}(X \setminus T) \to X \setminus T$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\phi : X'_{\mathrm{sing}} = \phi^{-1}(D) \to D$ is an isomorphism. If we identify $\phi^{-1}(D)$ with D and let $X'_\pm = \mathrm{Bl}_{T \cap X_\pm}(X_\pm)$, it becomes clear that $X' = X'_+ \amalg_D X'_-$. We set $U' = X' \setminus D = \phi^{-1}(U)$. Let C' denote the strict transform of C with components $\{C'_1, \cdots, C'_r\}$.

Since ϕ is an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of D, it follows that $\phi^{-1}(S) \simeq S$ and the map $C' \to C$ is an isomorphism along D. In particular, we have $f \in \mathcal{O}^\times_{C',S}$ and $\phi_*(\mathrm{div}_{C'}(f)) = \mathrm{div}_C(f) = n\alpha$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(\alpha) \subset C'$, we can find $\alpha' \in \mathcal{Z}_0(X')$ supported on C' such that $\phi_*(\alpha') = \alpha$. This implies that $\phi_*(n\alpha' - \mathrm{div}_{C'}(f)) = 0$. Setting $\beta = n\alpha' - \mathrm{div}_{C'}(f)$, it follows that β must be a 0-cycle on the exceptional divisor such that $\deg(\beta) = 0$.

Since the exceptional divisor E is a disjoint union of successive blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_k$ at closed points, it follows that there are finitely many smooth projective rational curves $L_j \subset E$ and rational functions $g_j \in k(L_j)$ such that $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \mathrm{div}_{L_j}(g_j)$. Using the argument of [9, Lemma 5.2], we can further choose L_j's so that $C'' := C' \cup (\cup_j L_j)$ is a connected reduced curve with following properties.

(1) Each component of C'' is smooth along U'.
(2) $C'' \cap U'$ has only ordinary double point singularities.

In particular, the embedding dimension of C'' at each of its singular points lying over U is two. Furthermore, $C'' \cap D = (C'' \setminus (\cup_j L_j)) \cap D = C' \cap D$. This implies that C'' is a Cartier curve on X'.

We now fix a locally closed embedding $X' = X'_+ \amalg_D X'_- \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_k$ and choose a complete intersection surface $j' : Y' \subset X'$ as in Lemma 6.1. Let $\pi' : X'_+ \amalg X'_- \to X'$ denote the normalization map with $\pi'^{-1}(Y') = Y'_+ \amalg Y'_-$. Note that $E' = Y' \cap D$ is a reduced curve and $Y'_{\mathrm{sing}} \subset E'$. Since $C'' \cap E' = C' \cap E'$ and since C'' is Cartier on Y', it follows that C' and L_j's are also Cartier curves on Y'. Furthermore, α' is an element of $\mathcal{Z}_0(Y', E')$ such that $n\alpha' = \mathrm{div}_{C'}(f) + \sum_j \mathrm{div}_{L_j}(g_j)$. In particular, $\alpha' \in \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Y')$ and $n\alpha' = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Y')$. Note that this also implies that $\alpha' \in \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Y')_{\deg 0}$.

It follows from [35, Theorem 14] that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Y')_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{\rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{Y'}} & J^2(Y') & \xrightarrow{\pi'^*} & J^2(Y'_+) \times J^2(Y'_-) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle j'_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j'_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j'_*} \\ \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X')_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow[\rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{X'}]{} & J^d(X') & \xrightarrow[\pi'^*]{} & J^d(X'_+) \times J^d(X'_-). \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

Since $\phi : X' \to X$ is a blow-up at smooth closed points and since the Albanese variety of a smooth projective scheme is a birational invariant, it follows from the construction of $J^d(X)$ in § 2.2.1 and [14, Lemma 2.5] that the canonical push-forward map $\phi_* : \mathcal{Z}_0(X') \to \mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X')_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{\rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{X'}} & J^d(X') \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_*} \\ \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow[\rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{X}]{} & J^d(X), \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

in which the two vertical arrows are isomorphisms. It follows that $\alpha' \in \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Y')_{\deg 0}$ is a 0-cycle such that $\rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{X'} \circ j'_*(\alpha') = 0$ in $J^d(X')$. Using (6.2), we conclude that

$$j'_* \circ \pi'^* \circ \rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{Y'}(\alpha') = \pi'^* \circ \rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{X'} \circ j'_*(\alpha') = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

Since $Y'_+ \amalg Y'_- \to Y'$ is the normalization map (see Lemma 6.3), we know that $J^2(Y'_+) \times J^2(Y'_-)$ is the universal abelian variety quotient of $J^2(Y')$. In particular, the map $J^2(Y')\{p\} \to J^2(Y'_+)\{p\} \times J^2(Y'_-)\{p\}$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, $Y'_\pm \subset X'_\pm$ are the hypersurface sections of normal projective schemes and hence it follows from [28, Chap. 8, § 2, Theorem 5] that the right vertical arrow in (6.2) is an isomorphism of abelian varieties. Note here that X' or Y' need not be smooth for this isomorphism. It follows therefore from (6.4) that $\rho^{\mathrm{semi}}_{Y'}(\alpha') = 0$. Lemma 6.3 now implies that $\alpha' = 0$ and we finally get $\alpha = \phi_*(\alpha') = 0$. □

We now prove the Roitman torsion theorem for the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus when the underlying divisor is reduced.

Theorem 6.5. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Assume that D is reduced. Then, the Albanese variety with modulus $A^d(X|D)$ is a semi-abelian variety and the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0} \to A^d(X|D)$ is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups.*

Proof. By [6, (10.2)], there is a commutative diagram of split exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} & \mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)_{\deg 0} & \xrightarrow{i_-^*} & \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\deg 0} \to 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{X|D}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{S_X}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_X} \\ 0 \to A^d(X|D) & \xrightarrow[p_{+,*}]{} & A^d(S_X) & \xrightarrow[i_-^*]{} & A^d(X) \to 0. \end{array}$$

Since X is smooth over k, we know that $J^d(X)$ is an abelian variety. Moreover, the right vertical arrow is the isomorphism of Roitman [44] and Milne [40]. We conclude that the theorem is equivalent to showing that $J^d(S_X)$ is a semi-abelian variety and ρ_{S_X} is isomorphism on the torsion subgroups. But this follows from Theorems 2.2 and 6.4. □

Corollary 6.6. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and let $D \subset X$ be a reduced effective Cartier divisor. Then, $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0}$ is finite-dimensional. That is, the Abel-Jacobi map $\rho_X : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\deg 0} \to A^d(X|D)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 6.5, we only need to show that $\mathrm{CH}_0(S_X)$ is a torsion abelian group. But this is already shown in the proof of Corollary 4.9. □

References

[1] A. Altman, S. Kleiman, *Bertini theorems for hypersurface sections containing a subscheme*, Comm. Alg., **7**, (1979), no. 8, 775–790.
[2] L. Barbieri-Viale, C. Pedrini, C. Weibel, *Roitman's Theorem for singular Varieties*, Duke Math. J., **84**, (1996), 155–190.
[3] L. Barbieri-Viale, V. Srinivas, *Albanese and Picard 1-motives* , Mémoires de la SMF, **87**, (2001).
[4] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, (1968).
[5] F. Binda, S. Saito, *Relative cycles with moduli and regulator maps*, arXiv:1412.0315, (2014).
[6] F. Binda, A. Krishna, *Zero cycles with modulus and zero cycles on singular varieties*, Math-arXiv:1512.04847, (2015).
[7] J. Biswas, V. Srinivas, *Roitman's theorem for singular projective varieties*, Comp. Math., **119**, (1999), 213–237.
[8] S. Bloch, *Torsion algebraic cycles and a theorem of Roitman*, Comp. Math., **39**, (1979), 107–127.
[9] S. Bloch, *Lectures on algebraic cycles*, Duke University Math. Series, **4**, (1980).
[10] S. Bloch, *Algebraic Cycles and Higher K-theory*, Adv. Math., **61**, (1986), 267–304.
[11] K. Brown, S. Gersten, *Algebraic K-theory as generalized sheaf cohomology*, LNM series, **341**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1973) 266–292.
[12] C. Cumino, S. Greco, M. Manaresi, *Bertini theorems for weak normality*, Comp. Math., **48**, (1983), 351–362.
[13] P. Deligne, *La classe de cohomologie associée à un cycle*, SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, Lecture Notes in Math., **569**, Springer-Verlag, (1977).
[14] H. Esnault, V. Srinivas, E. Viehweg, *The Universal regular quotient of Chow group of points on projective varieties*, Invent. Math., **135**, (1999), 595–664.
[15] K. Fujiwara, *A proof of the absolute purity conjecture*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., Math. Soc. Japan, **36**, (2002), 144–173.
[16] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second Edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1998).
[17] S. Geller, C. Weibel, $K_1(A, B, I)$, J. Reine Angew. Math., **342**, (1983), 12–34.
[18] H. Gillet, *Riemann-Roch Theorems for higher algebraic K-theory*, Adv. Math., **40**, (1981), 203–289.

[19] H. Gillet, *Gersten's conjecture for the K-theory with torsion coefficients of a discrete valuations ring*, J. Alg., **103**, (1986), 377–380.
[20] S. Greco, C. Traverso, *On seminormal schemes*, Comp. Math., **40**, (1980), 325–365.
[21] R. Hoobler, *The Merkurjev-Suslin theorem for any semi-local ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **207**, (2006), no. 3, 537–552.
[22] M. Kerz, S. Saito, *Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus and higher dimensional class field theory*, Duke Math. J., to appear (2015), arXiv:1304.4400v2, (2014).
[23] A. Krishna, *On 0-cycles with modulus*, Algebra & Number Theory, **9**, (2015), no. 10, 2397–2415.
[24] A. Krishna, *Zero-cycles on affine varieties*, arXiv:1511.04221v1, (2015).
[25] A. Krishna, M. Levine, *Additive higher Chow groups of schemes*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **619**, (2008), 75–140.
[26] A. Krishna, J. Park, *A module structure and a vanishing theorem for cycles with modulus*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear (2016), arXiv:1412.7396v2, (2015).
[27] A. Krishna, V. Srinivas, *Zero-Cycles and K-theory on normal surfaces*, Ann. of Math., **156**, (2002), 155–195.
[28] S. Lang, *Abelian Varieties*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Math., **7**, Interscience Publishers, (1959).
[29] M. Levine, *A geometric theory of the Chow ring of a singular variety*, Unpublished manuscript.
[30] M. Levine, *Bloch's formula for singular surfaces*, Topology, **24**, (1985), 165–174.
[31] M. Levine, *Zero-cycles and K-theory on singular varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **46**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1987), 451–462.
[32] M. Levine, *Mixed motives*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, **57**, Amer. Math. Soc., (1998).
[33] M. Levine, *The indecomposable K_3 of fields*, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., **22**, (1989), 255–344.
[34] M. Levine, C. Weibel, *Zero cycles and complete intersections on singular varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **359**, (1985), 106–120.
[35] V. Mallick, *Roitman's theorem for singular projective varieties in arbitrary characteristic*, J. K-Theory **3**, (2009), 501–531.
[36] M. Manaresi, *Some properties of weakly normal varieties*, Nagoya Math. J., **77**, (1980), 61–74.
[37] J. P. May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand Math. Stud., **11**, Van Nostrand, Princeton, (1967).
[38] A. Merkurjev, A. Suslin, *The group K_3 of a field*, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **36**, (1991), 541–565.
[39] J. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Math. Ser., **33**, Princeton University Press, (1980).
[40] J. Milne, *Zero cycles on algebraic varieties in nonzero characteristic: Roitman's theorem*, Compositio Math., **47**, (1982), Fasc. 3, 271–287.
[41] A. Navarro, *Riemann-Roch for homotopy invariant K-theory and Gysin morphisms*, arXiv:1605.00980[math.AG], (2016).
[42] J. Park, *Regulators on additive higher Chow groups*, Amer. J. Math., **131**, (2009), no. 1, 257–276.
[43] C. Pedrini, C. Weibel, *Divisibility in the Chow group of zero-cycles on a singular surface*, Asterisque **226** (1994) 371–409.
[44] A. Roitman, *The torsion in the group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence*, Ann. Math., **111**, (1980), 553–569.
[45] K. Rülling, *The generalized de Rham-Witt complex over a field is a complex of zero-cycles*, J. Alg. Geom., **16**, no. 1, (2007), 109–169.
[46] J.-P. Serre, *Morphisms universels et differéntielles de troisiéme espéce*, Seminaire Chevalley, (1958-59).
[47] A. Suslin, *Torsion in K_2 of fields*, K-Theory, **1**, (1987), 5–29.
[48] V. Voevodsky, *Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers*, in "Cycles, transfers and motivic homology theories", volume 143 of Ann. of Math. Stud., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, **143**, (2000), 87–737.
[49] A. Weil, *Sur les critéres d'équivalence en géométrique algébrique*, Math. Annalen, **128**, (1954), 209–215.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1 Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai, India

E-mail address: amal@math.tifr.res.in